

Treasury Management Outturn Report 2019/20

Introduction

The Authority adopted the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice* (the CIPFA Code) which requires the Authority to approve treasury management semi-annual and annual reports.

The Authority's treasury management strategy for 2019/20 was approved at a meeting on 21 February 2019. The Authority has borrowed and invested substantial sums of money and is therefore exposed to financial risks including the loss of invested funds and the revenue effect of changing interest rates. The successful identification, monitoring and control of risk remain central to the Authority's treasury management strategy.

Treasury risk management at the Authority is conducted within the framework of the Chartered Institute of Public Finance and Accountancy's *Treasury Management in the Public Services: Code of Practice 2017 Edition* (the CIPFA Code) which requires the Authority to approve a treasury management strategy before the start of each financial year and, as a minimum, a semi-annual and annual treasury outturn report. This report fulfils the Authority's legal obligation under the Local Government Act 2003 to have regard to the CIPFA Code.

The 2017 Prudential Code includes a requirement for local authorities to provide a Capital Strategy, a summary document approved by full Council covering capital expenditure and financing, treasury management and non-treasury investments. The Authority's Capital Strategy, complying with CIPFA's requirement, was approved by full Council on 21 February 2019.

External Context

Economic background: The UK's exit from the European Union and future trading arrangements had remained one of major influences on the UK economy and sentiment during 2019/20. The 29 March 2019 Brexit deadline was extended to 12 April, then to 31 October and finally to 31 January 2020. Politics played a major role in financial markets over the period as the UK's tenuous progress negotiating its exit from the European Union together with its future trading arrangements drove volatility, particularly in foreign exchange markets. The outcome of December's General Election removed a lot of the uncertainty and looked set to provide a 'bounce' to confidence and activity.

The headline rate of UK Consumer Price Inflation UK Consumer Price Inflation fell to 1.7% y/y in February, below the Bank of England's target of 2%. Labour market data remained positive. The ILO unemployment rate was 3.9% in the three months to January 2020 while the employment rate hit a record high of 76.5%. The average annual growth rate for pay excluding bonuses was 3.1% in January 2020 and the same when bonuses were included, providing some evidence that a shortage of labour had been supporting wages.

GDP growth in Q4 2019 was reported as flat by the Office for National Statistics and service sector growth slowed and production and construction activity contracted on the back of what at the time were concerns over the impact of global trade tensions on economic activity. The annual rate of GDP growth remained below-trend at 1.1%.

Then coronavirus swiftly changed everything. COVID-19, which had first appeared in China in December 2019, started spreading across the globe causing plummeting sentiment and falls in financial markets not seen since the Global Financial Crisis as part of a flight to quality into sovereign debt and other perceived 'safe' assets.

In response to the spread of the virus and sharp increase in those infected, the government enforced lockdowns, central banks and governments around the world cut interest rates and introduced massive stimulus packages in an attempt to reduce some of the negative economic impact to domestic and global growth.

The Bank of England, which had held policy rates steady at 0.75% through most of 2019/20, moved in March to cut rates to 0.25% from 0.75% and then swiftly thereafter brought them down further to the record low of 0.1%. In conjunction with these cuts, the UK government introduced a number of measures to help businesses and households impacted by a series of ever-tightening social restrictions, culminating in pretty much the entire lockdown of the UK.

The US economy grew at an annualised rate of 2.1% in Q4 2019. After escalating trade wars and a protracted standoff, the signing of Phase 1 of the trade agreement between the US and China in January was initially positive for both economies, but COVID-19 severely impacted sentiment and production in both countries. Against a slowing economic outlook, the US Federal Reserve began cutting rates in August. Following a series of five cuts, the largest of which were in March 2020, the Fed Funds rate fell from of 2.5% to range of 0% - 0.25%. The US government also unleashed a raft of COVID-19 related measures and support for its economy including a \$2 trillion fiscal stimulus package. With interest rates already on (or below) the floor, the European Central Bank held its base rate at 0% and deposit rate at -0.5%.

Financial markets: Financial markets sold off sharply as the impact from the coronavirus worsened. After starting positively in 2020, the FTSE 100 fell over 30% at its worst point with stock markets in other countries seeing similar huge falls. In March sterling touch its lowest level against the dollar since 1985. The measures implemented by central banks and governments helped restore some confidence and financial markets have rebounded in recent weeks but remain extremely volatile. The flight to quality caused gilts yields to fall substantially. The 5-year benchmark fell from 0.75% in April 2019 to 0.26% on 31st March. The 10-year benchmark yield fell from 1% to 0.4%, the 20-year benchmark yield from 1.47% to 0.76% over the same period. 1-month, 3-month and 12-month bid rates averaged 0.61%, 0.72% and 0.88% respectively over the period.

Since the start of the calendar 2020, the yield on 2-year US treasuries had fallen from 1.573% to 0.20% and from 1.877% to 0.61% for 10-year treasuries. German bund yields remain negative.

Credit review: In Q4 2019 Fitch affirmed the UK's AA sovereign rating, removed it from Rating Watch Negative (RWN) and assigned a negative outlook. Fitch then affirmed UK banks' long-term ratings, removed the RWN and assigned a stable outlook. Standard & Poor's also affirmed the UK sovereign AA rating and revised the outlook to stable from negative. The Bank of England announced its latest stress tests results for the main seven UK banking groups. All seven passed on both a common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio and a leverage ratio basis. Under the test scenario the banks' aggregate level of CET1 capital would remain twice their level before the 2008 financial crisis.

After remaining flat in January and February and between a range of 30-55bps, Credit Default Swap spreads rose sharply in March as the potential impact of the coronavirus on bank balance sheets gave cause for concern. Spreads declined in late March and through to mid-April but remain above their initial 2020 levels. NatWest Markets Plc (non-ringfenced) remains the highest at 128bps and National Westminster Bank Plc (ringfenced) still the lowest at 56bps. The other main UK banks are between 65bps and 123bps, with the latter being the thinly traded and volatile Santander UK CDS.

While the UK and Non-UK banks on the Arlingclose counterparty list remain in a strong and well-capitalised position, the duration advice on all these banks was cut to 35 days in mid-March.

Fitch downgraded the UK sovereign rating to AA- in March which was followed by a number of actions on UK and Non-UK banks. This included revising the outlook on all banks on the counterparty list to negative, with the exception of Barclays Bank, Rabobank, Handelsbanken and Nordea Bank which were placed on Rating Watch Negative, as well as cutting Close Brothers long-term rating to A-. Having revised their outlooks to negative, Fitch upgraded the long-term ratings on Canadian and German banks but downgraded the long-term ratings for Australian banks. HSBC Bank and HSBC UK Bank, however, had their long-term ratings increased by Fitch to AA-.

Local Context

On 31 March 2020, the Authority had net borrowing of £106.5m arising from its revenue and capital income and expenditure. The underlying need to borrow for capital purposes is measured by the Capital Financing Requirement (CFR), while usable reserves and working capital are the underlying resources available for investment. These factors are summarised in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Balance Sheet Summary

	31.03.19	2019/20	31.3.20
	Actual	Movement	Actual
	£m	£m	£m
General Fund CFR	57	5.8	62.8
HRA CFR	85	-2.2	82.8
Total CFR	142	3.6	145.6
Less: Other debt liabilities *	-5	0.5	-4.5
Borrowing CFR	137	4.1	141.1
Less: Usable reserves	-26	-0.7	-26.7
Less: Working capital	-9	1.1	-7.9
Net borrowing	102	4.5	106.5

* PFI liabilities that form part of the Authority's total debt

The Authority pursued its strategy of keeping borrowing and investments below their underlying levels, sometimes known as internal borrowing, in order to reduce risk and keep interest costs low.

The treasury management position at 31 March 2020 and the change during the year is shown in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Treasury Management Summary

	31.3.19	2019/20	31.3.20	31.3.20
	Balance	Movement	Balance	Average
	£m	£m	£m	Rate
				%
Long-term borrowing	97	-4.6	92.4	2.92
Short-term borrowing	19	5.5	24.5	0.91
Total borrowing	116	0.9	116.9	
Short-term investments	12	-2	10	0.72
Cash and cash equivalents	2	-1.6	0.4	0
Total investments	14	-3.6	10.4	
Net borrowing	102	4.5	106.5	

Borrowing Update

On 9 October 2019 the PWLB raised the cost of certainty rate borrowing by 1% to 1.8% above UK gilt yields as HM Treasury was concerned about the overall level of local authority debt. PWLB borrowing remains available but the margin of 180bp above gilt yields appears relatively very expensive. Market alternatives are available and new products will be developed; however, the financial strength of individual authorities will be scrutinised by investors and commercial lenders.

The Chancellor's March 2020 Budget statement included significant changes to Public Works Loan Board (PWLB) policy and launched a wide-ranging consultation on the PWLB's future direction.

Announcements included a reduction in the margin on new HRA loans to 0.80% above equivalent gilt yields (the value of this discount is 1% below the rate at which the authority usually borrows from the PWLB), available from 12 March 2020 and £1.15bn of additional “infrastructure rate” funding at gilt yields plus 0.60% to support specific local authority infrastructure projects for England, Scotland and Wales for which there is a bidding process.

The consultation titled “Future Lending Terms” represents a frank, open and inclusive invitation, allowing key stakeholders to contribute to developing a system whereby PWLB loans can be made available at improved margins to support qualifying projects. It contains proposals on allowing authorities that are not involved in “debt for yield” activity to borrow at lower rates as well as stopping local authorities using PWLB loans to buy commercial assets primarily for yield without impeding their ability to pursue their core policy objectives of service delivery, housing, and regeneration. The consultation also broaches the possibility of slowing, or stopping, individual authorities from borrowing large sums in specific circumstances.

The consultation closes on 4 June 2020 with implementation of the new lending terms expected in the latter part of this calendar year or financial year beginning 2021/22.

Borrowing strategy

At 31 March 2020 the Authority held £117m of loans, an increase of £3.5m 31 March 2019, as part of its strategy for funding previous and current years’ capital programmes. Outstanding loans on 31 March are summarised in Table 3 below.

Table 3: Borrowing Position

	31.3.19	2019/20	31.3.20	31.3.20
	Balance	Movement	Balance	Weighted Average Rate
	£m	£m	£m	%
Public Works Loan Board	84.5	-2.1	82.4	3.14
Phoenix Life Ltd	10	0	10	2.86
Local authorities (short-term)	19	5.5	24.5	0.91
Total borrowing	113.5	3.4	116.9	

The Authority’s chief objective when borrowing has been to strike an appropriately low risk balance between securing low interest costs and achieving cost certainty over the period for which funds are required, with flexibility to renegotiate loans should the Authority’s long-term plans change being a secondary objective.

With short-term interest rates remaining much lower than long-term rates, the Authority considered it more cost effective in the near term to use internal resources or borrowed rolling short-term loans instead. The net movement in short-term loans is shown in table 3 above.

As this year has illustrated, PWLB funding margins have lurched quite substantially and there remains a strong argument for diversifying funding sources, particularly if rates can be achieved on alternatives which are below gilt yields plus 0.80%, i.e. the PWLB HRA borrowing rate. The Authority will evaluate and pursue these lower cost solutions and opportunities with its advisor Arlingclose.

Other Debt Activity

After £0.131m repayment of prior years' Private Finance Initiative liabilities, total debt other than borrowing stood at £4.488m on 31 March 2020, taking total net debt to £111m.

Treasury Investment Activity

The Authority holds invested funds, representing income received in advance of expenditure plus balances and reserves held. During the year, the Authority's investment balances ranged between £10 and £18 million due to timing differences between income and expenditure. The investment position is shown in table 4 below.

Table 4: Treasury Investment Position

	31.3.19	2019/20	31.3.20	31.3.20
	Balance	Movement	Balance	Weighted Average Rate
	£m	£m	£m	%
Government (incl. local authorities)	11	-3	8	0.85
Money Market Funds	1	1	2	0.45
Total investments	12	-2	10	

Both the CIPFA Code and government guidance require the Authority to invest its funds prudently, and to have regard to the security and liquidity of its treasury investments before seeking the optimum rate of return, or yield. The Authority's objective when investing money is to strike an appropriate balance between risk and return, minimising the risk of incurring losses from defaults and the risk of receiving unsuitably low investment income.

Given the increasing risk and low returns from short-term unsecured bank investments, the Authority has kept investments in more secure asset classes as shown in table 4 above.

The progression of risk and return metrics are shown in the extracts from Arlingclose's quarterly investment benchmarking in Table 5 below.

Table 5: Investment Benchmarking – Treasury investments managed in-house

	Credit Score	Credit Rating	Bail-in Exposure %	Weighted Average Maturity (days)	Rate of Return %
31.03.2019	3.94	AA-	0.18	146	0.84
31.03.2020	3.66	AA-	0.23	60	0.79
Similar LAs	3.95	AA-	0.59	53	0.68
All LAs	4.03	AA-	0.56	20	0.64

In a relatively short period since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, the global economic fallout was sharp and large. Market reaction was extreme with large falls in equities, corporate bond markets and, to some extent, real estate echoing lockdown-induced paralysis and the uncharted challenges for governments, businesses and individuals. Volatility measured by the VIX index was almost as high as during the global financial crisis of 2008/9 and evidenced in plummeting equity prices and the widening of corporate bond spreads, very close to rivalling those twelve years ago. Gilt yields fell but credit spreads widened markedly reflecting the sharp deterioration in economic and credit conditions associated with a sudden stagnation in economies, so corporate bonds yields (comprised of the gilt yield plus the credit spread) rose and prices therefore fell.

Non-Treasury Investments

The definition of investments in CIPFA’s revised Treasury Management Code now covers all the financial assets of the Authority as well as other non-financial assets which the Authority holds primarily for financial return. This is replicated in the Investment Guidance issued by Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government’s (MHCLG) and Welsh Government, in which the definition of investments is further broadened to also include all such assets held partially for financial return.

At 31st March 2020, the Authority held £53m of such investments in loans to subsidiaries. This represents an increase of £3m on the previous year due to additional investment in Aspire CRP Ltd.

These investments generated £2m of investment income for the Authority after taking account of direct costs, representing a rate of return of 4%.

Compliance

The Director of Finance and Corporate Services reports that all treasury management activities undertaken during the year fully complied with the CIPFA Code of Practice and the Authority’s approved Treasury Management Strategy. Compliance with specific investment limits is demonstrated in table 7 below.

Compliance with the authorised limit and operational boundary for external debt is demonstrated in table 7 below.

Table 7: Debt Limits

	2019/20 Maximum £m	31.3.20 Actual £m	2019/20 Operational Boundary £m	2019/20 Authorised Limit £m	Complied
Borrowing	119	117	295	295	✓
PFI	5	4.5	5	5	✓
TOTAL	124	121.5	300	300	✓

Table 8: Investment Limits

	2019/20 Maximum £m	31.3.20 Actual £m	2019/20 Limit £m	Complied
Banks and other organisations whose lowest published long-term credit rating from Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's is A- and above except the UK Central Government	0	0	1	✓
Banks and other organisations whose lowest published long-term credit rating from Fitch, Moody's and Standard and Poor's is BBB+ except the UK Central Government	0	0	1	✓
UK Central Government	6.5	0	Unlimited	✓
UK Local Authorities including Police and Fire per authority	2.5	2	3	✓
UK Building Societies without credit ratings	0	0	1	✓
Saffron Building Society	0	0	0.5	✓
Money Market Funds, per fund	1	1	1	✓

Treasury Management Indicators

The Authority measures and manages its exposures to treasury management risks using the following indicators.

Security: The Authority has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to credit risk by monitoring the value-weighted average credit rating of its investment portfolio. This is calculated by applying a score to each investment (AAA=1, AA+=2, etc.) and taking the arithmetic average,

weighted by the size of each investment. Unrated investments are assigned a score based on their perceived risk.

	31.3.20 Actual	2019/20 Target	Complied
Portfolio average credit rating	AA-	A	✓

Liquidity: The Authority has adopted a voluntary measure of its exposure to liquidity risk by monitoring the amount of cash available to meet unexpected payments within a rolling three-month period, without additional borrowing.

	31.3.20 Actual £m	2019/20 Target £m	Complied
Total cash available within 3 months	2	2	✓

Interest Rate Exposures: This indicator is set to control the Authority's exposure to interest rate risk. The upper limits on the one-year revenue impact of a 1% rise or fall in interests was:

	31.3.20 Actual £'000	2019/20 Limit £'000	Complied
Upper limit on one-year revenue impact of a 1% rise in interest rates	166	250	✓
Upper limit on one-year revenue impact of a 1% fall in interest rates	166	250	✓

The impact of a change in interest rates is calculated on the assumption that maturing loans and investment will be replaced at current rates.

Maturity Structure of Borrowing: This indicator is set to control the Authority's exposure to refinancing risk. The upper and lower limits on the maturity structure of all borrowing were:

	31.3.20 Actual	Upper Limit %	Complied
Under 12 months	18	25	✓
12 months and within 24 months	3	25	✓
24 months and within 5 years	5	50	✓
5 years and within 10 years	14	75	✓
10 years and within 20 years	37	75	✓
20 years and above	23	100	✓

Time periods start on the first day of each financial year. The maturity date of borrowing is the earliest date on which the lender can demand repayment.

Principal Sums Invested for Periods Longer than a year: The purpose of this indicator is to control the Authority's exposure to the risk of incurring losses by seeking early repayment of its investments. The limits on the long-term principal sum invested to final maturities beyond the period end were:

	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Actual principal invested beyond year end	0	0	0
Limit on principal invested beyond year end	£10m	£10m	£10m
Complied	✓	✓	✓

Other

IFRS 16: CIPFA/LASAAC has proposed delaying the implementation of the new IFRS 16 Leases accounting standard for a further year to 2021/22.